

## Welcome and Participant details

PLEASE ENSURE YOU COMPLETE THIS SURVEY IN ONE SITTING. YOU WILL NOT BE ABLE TO SAVE IT AND COME BACK TO IT.

This survey is intended to seek the opinion of all members of the NZ agility community on a range of revised scenarios for our competition height structure.

We would value the participation of as many agiliteers as possible in the survey. Even if you are completely happy with the existing competition heights it is important that this is expressed.

It is very important that you read and understand the options report prior to answering this survey. This can be found at the following link:

<http://dogagility.org.nz/consultation/Heights%20Final%20Options%20Report%20June%202018.pdf>

It may also be useful to revise the report on the results of Survey 1:

<http://dogagility.org.nz/consultation/Heights%20Review%20Report%20on%20Survey%201%20-%20June%202018.pdf>

We welcome any additional comments you may have at the end of the survey.

Thank you for your time!

The purpose of Page 1 is to collect information on you as a member of the agility community

\* 1. Name

\* 2. NZKC number (this is required to ensure that each person completes the survey only once)

\* 3. Which zone do you currently reside in?

Zone 1

Zone 4

Zone 2

Zone 5

Zone 3

\* 4. What height class of dog do you currently handle?

- |                              |  |
|------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Micro  | <input type="radio"/> Mini and micro                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Mini   | <input type="radio"/> Medium and maxi                    |
| <input type="radio"/> Medium | <input type="radio"/> A different combination of heights |
| <input type="radio"/> Maxi   | <input type="radio"/> I don't currently handle a dog     |

\* 5. How long have you been involved in the sport of dog agility?

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Less than 1 year | <input type="radio"/> 5-10 years         |
| <input type="radio"/> 1-2 years        | <input type="radio"/> More than 10 years |
| <input type="radio"/> 2-5 years        |  |

Jump heights and dog heights

When considering the three revised scenarios you should view them in the context of the current NZ system for splitting of classes. That is, a 4-way split where there are 15 dogs in each of micro, mini, medium and maxi; a 3-way split when there are 15 dogs in each of small (micro/mini), medium and maxi; or where all heights compete together if there are less than 15 dogs in any of small, medium or maxi. No class would split more than 4 ways.

\* 6. This question refers to the Apple Scenario (Jump heights at 250, 300, 380, 450, 520, and 600). Which statement best reflects your favourability for this option?

Dog Height		Jump Height	Current Jump Height	% Jump/Dog Height Range		# Dogs in NZ	Group	Consequences
230	280	<b>250</b>	300	1.09	0.89	59	Micro	50mm lower
281	350	<b>300</b>	300/380	1.07	0.86	181		No change or 80mm lower
351	420	<b>380</b>	380	1.08	0.9	190	Mini	no change
421	445	<b>450</b>	380/570	1.07	1.01	56		70mm higher or 120mm lower
446	520	<b>520</b>	570	1.17	1	580	Medium	50mm lower
521	650	<b>600</b>	650	1.15	0.92	571	Maxi	50mm lower

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario

Please explain what you like and do not like about this scenario. Comments should be specific and avoid phrases such as "as above" or "refer Question 10" as your comments for each question are collated separately. Thank you.

\* 7. This question refers to the Orange Scenario (Jump heights at 250, 300, 380, 480, and 580). Which statement best reflects your favourability for this option?

Dog Height			Jump Height	Current Jump Height	% Jump/Dog Height			# Dogs in NZ	Group	Consequences
Smallest Dog	Median Dog	Largest Dog			Smallest Dog	Median Dog	Largest Dog			
230	266	280	<b>250</b>	300	1.09	0.94	0.89	59	Micro	50mm lower
281	322	350	<b>300</b>	300/380	1.07	0.93	0.86	181		No change or 80mm lower
351	382	425	<b>380</b>	380	1.08	0.99	0.89	198	Mini	no change
426	485	510	<b>480</b>	570	1.13	0.99	0.94	523	Medium	100mm higher or 90mm lower
511	546	650	<b>580</b>	650	1.14	1.06	0.89	676	Maxi	10mm higher or 70mm lower

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario with it

Please explain what you like and do not like about this scenario. Comments should be specific and avoid phrases such as "as above" or "refer Question 10" as your comments for each question are collated separately. Thank you.

\* 8. This question refers to the Pear Scenario (Jump heights at 270, 380, 450, 520 and 600). Which statement best reflects your favourability for this option?

Dog Height		Jump Height	Current Jump Height	% Jump/Dog Height Range		# Dogs in NZ	Group	Consequences
230	335	<b>270</b>	300/380	1.17	0.81	183	Micro	30mm lower or 110mm lower
336	420	<b>380</b>	380	1.13	0.90	247	Mini	no change
421	445	<b>450</b>	380/570	1.07	1.01	56		70mm higher or 120mm lower
446	520	<b>520</b>	570	1.17	1.00	580	Medium	50mm lower
521	650	<b>600</b>	650	1.15	0.92	571	Maxi	50mm lower

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario

Please explain what you like and do not like about this scenario. Comments should be specific and avoid phrases such as "as above" or "refer Question 10" as your comments for each question are collated separately. Thank you.

\* 9. Both the Apple and Pear scenarios propose for a new group of dogs measuring 421-445mm in height, and jumping 450mm, to be paired with the mini group for the purposes of a split competition under the current regulations. This group is made up of dogs that are currently at the tallest end of the mini group and the shortest end of the medium group. Would you feel more favourably about the Apple and Pear scenarios if this new group was paired with mediums under the current split regulations?

- Yes - I think this group is more appropriately paired with mediums  
 No - I think this group is more appropriately paired with smalls  
 It would not affect my favourability for these scenarios

\* 10. When considering the number of jump bar changes in NZ agility, what is the preferred number that you would like to see?

4

7

5

I don't have a strong preference

6

11. Of the three revised scenarios presented, the one I prefer the most is...

- Apple - 6 bars
- Orange - 5 bars
- Pear - 5 bars

12. Of the three revised scenarios presented, the one I prefer the least is...

- Apple - 6 bars
- Orange - 5 bars
- Pear - 5 bars

\* 13. Please rank the revised scenarios in preference from most preferred to least preferred. *If you do not wish to include a rank for one or more of the scenarios you are able to select "NA".*

	<input type="text" value="1"/>	Apple - 6 bars	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="text" value="2"/>	Orange - 5 bars	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="text" value="3"/>	Pear - 5 bars	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

14. Do you have any final comments? *Comments should be specific and avoid phrases such as "as above", or "refer Question 10" as your comments for each question are collated separately. Thank you.*