

Welcome and Participant details

**This survey is intended to seek the opinion of all members of the NZ agility community on a range of potential scenarios for our competition height structure.**

**We would value the participation of as many agiliteers as possible in the survey. Even if you are completely happy with the existing competition heights it is important that this is expressed.**

**It is very important that you read and understand the options report prior to answering this survey. This can be found at the following link:**

**[http://dogagility.org.nz/consultation/Heights\\_Review\\_Consultation\\_Document\\_May2018.pdf](http://dogagility.org.nz/consultation/Heights_Review_Consultation_Document_May2018.pdf)**

**We welcome any additional comments you may have at the end of the survey.**

**Please ensure that you complete this survey in one sitting. You will not be able to save it and come back to it.**

**Thank you for your time!**

**The purpose of Page 1 is to collect information on you as a member of the agility community**

\* 1. Name

\* 2. NZKC number (this is required to ensure that each person completes the survey only once)

\* 3. Which zone do you currently reside in?

Zone 1

Zone 4

Zone 2

Zone 5

Zone 3

\* 4. What height class of dog do you currently handle?

Micro

Mini and micro

Mini

Medium and maxi

Medium

A different combination of heights

Maxi

I don't currently handle a dog

\* 5. How long have you been involved in the sport of dog agility?

Less than 1 year

5-10 years

1-2 years

More than 10 years

2-5 years

Jump heights and dog heights

When considering the existing and new scenarios you should view them in the context of the current NZ system for splitting of classes. That is, a 4-way split where there are 15 dogs in each of micro, mini, medium and maxi; a 3-way split when there are 15 dogs in each of small (micro/mini), medium and maxi; or where all heights compete together if there are less than 15 dogs in any of small, medium or maxi. No class would split more than 4 ways.

\* 6. This question refers to the Status Quo (Existing NZ situation – Jump heights at 300, 380, 570, and 650). Which statement best reflects your favourability for this option?

| Existing New Zealand Situation |     |             |                         |      |              |        |
|--------------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------|
| Dog Height                     |     | Jump Height | % Jump/Dog Height Range |      | # Dogs in NZ | Group  |
| 220                            | 325 | <b>300</b>  | 1.36                    | 0.92 | 142          | micro  |
| 326                            | 430 | <b>380</b>  | 1.17                    | 0.88 | 247          | mini   |
| 431                            | 520 | <b>570</b>  | 1.32                    | 1.10 | 535          | medium |
| 521                            | 650 | <b>650</b>  | 1.25                    | 1.00 | 503          | maxi   |

- Very favourable
- Somewhat favourable
- Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
- Somewhat unfavourable
- Very unfavourable
- I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario

Please explain what you like or do not like about this scenario.

\* 7. This question refers to the heights used in Finland (5 bars: – Jump heights at 200, 300, 400, 500 and 600). Which statement best reflects your favourability for the Finnish heights?

| Finland    |     |             |                     |                         |      |              |        |                           |
|------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------|---------------------------|
| Dog Height |     | Jump Height | Current Jump Height | % Jump/Dog Height Range |      | # Dogs in NZ | Group  | Consequences              |
| 220        | 280 | <b>200</b>  | 300                 | 0.91                    | 0.71 | 51           | micro  | 100mm lower               |
| 281        | 350 | <b>300</b>  | 300/380             | 1.07                    | 0.86 | 157          | micro  | No change or 80mm lower   |
| 351        | 430 | <b>400</b>  | 380                 | 1.14                    | 0.93 | 181          | mini   | 20mm higher               |
| 431        | 500 | <b>500</b>  | 570                 | 1.16                    | 1.00 | 350          | medium | 70mm lower                |
| 501        | 650 | <b>600</b>  | 570/650             | 1.20                    | 0.92 | 688          | maxi   | 30mm higher or 50mm lower |

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario

Please explain what you like or do not like about this scenario.

\* 8. This question refers to the heights used by the USDAA (6 bars: USA – Jump heights at 255, 355, 405, 510, 560 and 610). Which statement best reflects your favourability for the USDAA heights?

| USDAA (USA) |     |             |                     |                         |      |              |        |                           |
|-------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------|---------------------------|
| Dog Height  |     | Jump Height | Current Jump Height | % Jump/Dog Height Range |      | # Dogs in NZ | Group  | Consequences              |
| 220         | 305 | <b>255</b>  | 300                 | 1.16                    | 0.84 | 94           | micro  | 45mm lower                |
| 306         | 355 | <b>355</b>  | 300/380             | 1.16                    | 1.00 | 127          | micro  | 55mm higher or 25mm lower |
| 356         | 430 | <b>405</b>  | 380                 | 1.14                    | 0.94 | 167          | mini   | 25mm higher               |
| 431         | 480 | <b>510</b>  | 570                 | 1.18                    | 1.06 | 187          | medium | 60mm lower                |
| 481         | 535 | <b>560</b>  | 570/650             | 1.16                    | 1.05 | 471          | medium | 10mm lower or 90mm lower  |
| 536         | 650 | <b>610</b>  | 650                 | 1.14                    | 0.94 | 381          | maxi   | 40mm lower                |

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario

Please explain what you like or do not like about this scenario.

\* 9. This question refers to the heights used by ANKC (5 bars: Australia – Jump heights at 200, 300, 400, 500 and 600). Which statement best reflects your favourability for the ANKC heights?

| Australia (ANKC) |     |             |                     |                         |      |              |        |                            |
|------------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------|----------------------------|
| Dog Height       |     | Jump Height | Current Jump Height | % Jump/Dog Height Range |      | # Dogs in NZ | Group  | Consequences               |
| 220              | 270 | <b>200</b>  | 300                 | 0.91                    | 0.74 | 31           | micro  | 100mm lower                |
| 271              | 365 | <b>300</b>  | 300/380             | 1.11                    | 0.82 | 218          | micro  | No change or 80mm lower    |
| 366              | 455 | <b>400</b>  | 380/570             | 1.09                    | 0.88 | 197          | mini   | 20mm higher or 170mm lower |
| 456              | 545 | <b>500</b>  | 570/650             | 1.10                    | 0.92 | 675          | medium | 70mm lower or 150mm lower  |
| 546              | 650 | <b>600</b>  | 650                 | 1.09                    | 0.92 | 306          | maxi   | 50mm lower                 |

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario

Please explain what you like or do not like about this scenario.

\* 10. This question refers to Height Scenario 1 (5 bars – Jump heights at 250, 300, 380, 500 and 600). Which statement best reflects your favourability for this scenario?

| Scenario 1 – (5 Bars) |     |             |                     |                         |      |              |        |                            |
|-----------------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------|----------------------------|
| Dog Height            |     | Jump Height | Current Jump Height | % Jump/Dog Height Range |      | # Dogs in NZ | Group  | Consequences               |
| 220                   | 270 | <b>250</b>  | 300                 | 1.14                    | 0.93 | 31           | micro  | 50mm lower                 |
| 271                   | 335 | <b>300</b>  | 300/380             | 1.11                    | 0.90 | 126          | micro  | No change or 80mm lower    |
| 336                   | 425 | <b>380</b>  | 380                 | 1.13                    | 0.89 | 215          | mini   | no change                  |
| 426                   | 510 | <b>500</b>  | 380/570             | 1.17                    | 0.98 | 459          | medium | 120mm higher or 70mm lower |
| 511                   | 650 | <b>600</b>  | 570/650             | 1.17                    | 0.92 | 596          | maxi   | 30mm higher or 50mm lower  |

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario

Please explain what you like or do not like about this scenario.

\* 11. This question refers to Height Scenario 2 (5 bars – Jump heights at 250, 320, 400, 500 and 600). Which statement best reflects your favourability for this scenario?

| Scenario 2 – (5 Bars) |     |             |                     |                         |      |              |        |                           |
|-----------------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------|---------------------------|
| Dog Height            |     | Jump Height | Current Jump Height | % Jump/Dog Height Range |      | # Dogs in NZ | Group  | Consequences              |
| 220                   | 280 | <b>250</b>  | 300                 | 1.14                    | 0.89 | 51           | micro  | 50mm lower                |
| 281                   | 350 | <b>320</b>  | 300/380             | 1.14                    | 0.91 | 157          | micro  | 20mm higher or 60mm lower |
| 351                   | 430 | <b>400</b>  | 380                 | 1.14                    | 0.93 | 181          | mini   | 20mm higher               |
| 431                   | 520 | <b>500</b>  | 570                 | 1.16                    | 0.96 | 535          | medium | 70mm lower                |
| 521                   | 650 | <b>600</b>  | 650                 | 1.15                    | 0.92 | 503          | maxi   | 50mm lower                |

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario with it)

Please explain what you like or do not like about this scenario.



\* 12. This question refers to Height Scenario 3 (6 bars – Jump heights at 250, 300, 350, 400, 500 and 600). Which statement best reflects your favourability for this scenario?

| Scenario 3 – (6 Bars) |     |             |                     |                         |      |              |        |              |
|-----------------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| Dog Height            |     | Jump Height | Current Jump Height | % Jump/Dog Height Range |      | # Dogs in NZ | Group  | Consequences |
| 220                   | 260 | <b>250</b>  | 300                 | 1.14                    | 0.96 | 19           | micro  | 50mm lower   |
| 261                   | 325 | <b>300</b>  | 300                 | 1.15                    | 0.92 | 123          | micro  | no change    |
| 326                   | 370 | <b>350</b>  | 380                 | 1.07                    | 0.95 | 119          | mini   | 30mm lower   |
| 371                   | 430 | <b>400</b>  | 380                 | 1.08                    | 0.93 | 128          | mini   | 20mm higher  |
| 431                   | 520 | <b>500</b>  | 570                 | 1.16                    | 0.96 | 535          | medium | 70mm lower   |
| 521                   | 650 | <b>600</b>  | 650                 | 1.15                    | 0.92 | 503          | maxi   | 50mm lower   |

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario with it

Please explain what you like or do not like about this scenario.

\* 13. This question refers to Height Scenario 4 (7 bars – Jump heights at 250, 300, 350, 400, 450, 520 and 600). Which statement best reflects your favourability for this scenario?

| Scenario 4 – (7 Bars) |     |             |                     |                         |      |              |        |                           |
|-----------------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------|---------------------------|
| Dog Height            |     | Jump Height | Current Jump Height | % Jump/Dog Height Range |      | # Dogs in NZ | Group  | Consequences              |
| 220                   | 259 | <b>250</b>  | 300                 | 1.14                    | 0.97 | 16           | micro  | 50mm lower                |
| 260                   | 300 | <b>300</b>  | 300                 | 1.15                    | 1.00 | 74           | micro  | no change                 |
| 301                   | 365 | <b>350</b>  | 300/380             | 1.16                    | 0.96 | 158          | mini   | 30mm lower or 50mm higher |
| 366                   | 430 | <b>400</b>  | 380                 | 1.09                    | 0.93 | 141          | mini   | 20 mm higher              |
| 431                   | 460 | <b>450</b>  | 570                 | 1.04                    | 0.98 | 77           | medium | 120mm lower               |
| 461                   | 520 | <b>520</b>  | 570                 | 1.13                    | 1.00 | 458          | medium | 50mm lower                |
| 521                   | 650 | <b>600</b>  | 650                 | 1.15                    | 0.92 | 503          | maxi   | 50mm lower                |

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario

Please explain what you like or do not like about this scenario.

\* 14. This question refers to Height Scenario 5 (7 bars – Jump heights at 250, 300, 350, 400, 500, 550 and 600). Which statement best reflects your favourability for this scenario?

| Scenario 5 – (7 Bars) |     |             |                     |                         |      |              |        |              |
|-----------------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| Dog Height            |     | Jump Height | Current Jump Height | % Jump/Dog Height Range |      | # Dogs in NZ | Group  | Consequences |
| 220                   | 255 | <b>250</b>  | 300                 | 1.14                    | 0.98 | 13           | micro  | 50mm lower   |
| 256                   | 325 | <b>300</b>  | 300                 | 1.17                    | 0.92 | 129          | micro  | no change    |
| 326                   | 380 | <b>350</b>  | 380                 | 1.07                    | 0.92 | 144          | mini   | 30mm lower   |
| 381                   | 430 | <b>400</b>  | 380                 | 1.05                    | 0.93 | 103          | mini   | 20mm higher  |
| 431                   | 500 | <b>500</b>  | 570                 | 1.16                    | 1.00 | 350          | medium | 70mm lower   |
| 501                   | 520 | <b>550</b>  | 570                 | 1.10                    | 1.06 | 185          | medium | 20mm lower   |
| 521                   | 650 | <b>600</b>  | 650                 | 1.15                    | 0.92 | 503          | maxi   | 50mm lower   |

- Very favourable
  Somewhat unfavourable  
 Somewhat favourable
  Very unfavourable  
 Neutral (I don't have a strong feeling either way but could live with it)
  I don't feel confident in making an assessment of this scenario with it

Please explain what you like or do not like about this scenario.

15. Of all existing or new scenarios presented, the one I like the most is...

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Status quo - existing New Zealand situation 4 bars | <input type="radio"/> Scenario 2 - 5 bars |
| <input type="radio"/> Finland - 5 bars                                   | <input type="radio"/> Scenario 3 - 6 bars |
| <input type="radio"/> USDAA - 6 bars                                     | <input type="radio"/> Scenario 4 - 7 bars |
| <input type="radio"/> ANKC - 5 bars                                      | <input type="radio"/> Scenario 5 - 7 bars |
| <input type="radio"/> Scenario 1 - 5 bars                                |   |

Please explain the reason(s) for your choice

16. Of all existing or new scenarios presented, the one I like the least is...

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Status quo - existing New Zealand situation 4 bars | <input type="radio"/> Scenario 2 - 5 bars |
| <input type="radio"/> Finland - 5 bars                                   | <input type="radio"/> Scenario 3 - 6 bars |
| <input type="radio"/> USDAA - 6 bars                                     | <input type="radio"/> Scenario 4 - 7 bars |
| <input type="radio"/> ANKC - 5 bars                                      | <input type="radio"/> Scenario 5 - 7 bars |
| <input type="radio"/> Scenario 1 - 5 bars                                |   |

Please explain the reason(s) for your choice

17. Do you have any final comments?